

The Business Impact School and Business Engagement under the Valuing Nature Programme

Guy Duke, Business Champion

## Aim of the School, programme

- To develop a Valuing Nature research community with a broader understanding of how research on valuing nature can be translated in to private sector decision-making and innovation.
- Speakers drawn from relevant businesses & business-related initiatives at the forefront of innovation related to valuing nature.
- Opportunity to interact Q&A, breaks, meals
- Hands-on sessions
- 'Field trip' (yesterday)

## Importance of the impact agenda

- Increasing emphasis on research impact, and the research-innovation-commercialisation continuum.
- Critical to research careers and funding
- Impact evaluated in applications for funding UK, EU...
- Impact also a consideration in UK higher education funding.
- Ability to deliver impact a key skill sought by universities when appointing researchers.

## Valuing nature & business impact

- Valuing nature agenda increasingly of interest to business
- Failure to value nature can present risks, valuing nature can present opportunities to business – Rol, reputation...
- VNP active in Business Engagement through:
  - Business Interest Group
  - Promoting business engagement in VN-funded projects
  - Business Impact Schools London, Edinburgh
  - Other activities planned round-tables, business impact brokering, business impact in Global Challenges research, business impact conference...



Valuing Nature Programme Report No. 3



Identifying Priorities for the Health & Wellbeing Funding Call:

Pathways to Impact with Business

May 2015

# Features of a good proposal likely to deliver business impact

- 1+ business partners in proposal
- Secondments to business
- Research objectives/activities designed with business, to deliver business impact (cocreation)
- Research extends through innovation towards market application
- Skills in team to present business case for uptake of research outcomes
- Synergies with business R&D
- Funding and/or in-kind support from business

## Building in business impact

- Research design stage
  - Which businesses benefit, how
  - How are business decisions made
  - Agree mutual expectation of outcomes
- Project implementation stage
  - Secondments, mentors
  - Embedding value of nature in decision-making
- Communication & dissemination
  - Business-friendly language
  - Make data available to business



Valuing nature research and analysis for the Ecosystem Markets Task Force - translating research for business impact

Guy Duke, Business Champion


















## **OPPORTUNITIES FOR UK BUSINESS THAT VALUE** AND/OR PROTECT NATURE'S SERVICES

Guy Duke, Principal Investigator



















#### THE ECOSYSTEM MARKETS TASK FORCE

- Natural Environment White Paper commitment to establish business-led Ecosystem Markets Task Force
  - "to review the opportunities for UK business from expanding green goods, services, products, investment vehicles and markets which value and protect nature's services."
- EMTF reported March 2013, via Green Economy Council, to
  - Secretary of State for Business, Innovation and Skills
  - SoS for Energy and Climate Change
  - SoS for Environment Food and Rural Affairs



















#### THE ECOSYSTEM MARKETS TASK FORCE

• CHAIR – Ian Cheshire, Group CEO, Kingfisher plc.

#### MEMBERS

- Kim Buckland, Co-Founder, Liz Earle
- Vivienne Cox, Chair, Climate Change Capital
- Jack Frost, Director, Johnson Matthey Fuel Cells
- David Hill, Chairman, Environment Bank
- Russ Houlden, Chief Finance Officer, United Utilities
- *Mike Wright*, Executive Director, Jaguar Land Rover
- Martin Roberts, Programme Director, Cambridge Natural Capital Leaders Platform
- Amanda Sourry, Chairman, Unilever UK and Ireland
- Peter Young, Strategy Director, SKM Enviros and Chairman, Aldersgate Group



















#### THE VNN SCOPING STUDY - OBJECTIVES

- 1. Review the evidence available in the UK National Ecosystem Assessment
- 2. Establish the potential for business opportunities based on nature's services
- 3. Identify actions to enable relevant markets
- 4. Identify priorities for further EMTF work



















#### THE STUDY TEAM

Guy Duke – PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR	Independent	Ecosystem services markets, policy, research & knowledge exchange
Matt Rayment	GHK	Environmental economics
Mavourneen Pieterse	G H K	Environmental economics
Ian Dickie	eftec	Environmental economics
Kerry ten Kate	Independent	Offsetting
Tony Juniper	Independent	Corporate sustainability
Mohammad Rafiq	Independent	Certification
Steve Smith	URS	Payment for ecosystem services
Nick Voulvoulis	Imperial College London	Environmental technologies



eftec Imperial College London

















#### THE SCOPING STUDY - METHOD

- 1. Development of a conceptual framework (CF)
- 2. Application of CF for analysis of National Ecosystem Assessment (NEA)
- 3. Innovative thinking in study team to identify business opportunities, related enabling actions, further work
- 4. Stakeholder consultation, based on Discussion Paper workshop, peer review



















#### **NEA ANALYSIS**

- 1. Drivers of change
- 2. State and trend of habitats
- 3. Status and trends in ecosystem services
- 4. Changes in ecosystem service values
- 5. Responses
  - >Long-list of opportunities



















#### TYPES OF BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY

- 1. Product markets
- 2. Offsetting
- 3. Payment for ecosystem services
- 4. Environmental technologies
- 5. Markets for cultural services
- 6. Financial and legal services
- 7. Ecosystems knowledge economy
- 8. Corporate ecosystem initiatives



















## **CATALOGUE OF PROPOSALS (Annex 1)**

- 40 ideas building on long-list
- Several ideas per 'type'
- For each:
  - Brief description
  - ii. Sector relevance
  - iii. Potential size of market
  - iv. Potential benefits for ecosystems
  - v. Enabling actions
  - vi. Further research
- Synergies between various opportunities



















#### **ANALYSIS OF MARKET POTENTIAL**

- Ability to tackle risk faced by business
- Potential demand
- Scalability and transferability of good practice
- Feasibility of overcoming any barriers
- Strength of underpinning evidence
- Potential role for SMEs
- Short-term payback potential
- Job creation potential
- Long-term potential for competitive UK advantage



















#### 'MOST PROMISING' OPPORTUNITIES

- 12 'most promising', as ranked by study team
- For each, suggestions for further EMTF and other research
- Balance between those that may be taken forward by business alone, and those requiring policy/regulatory action
- NB: other promising ideas listed in the report



















#### 'MOST PROMISING' OPPORTUNITIES

Rank	Opportunity
1=	Biodiversity offsets including through conservation banking
1=	Peatland carbon code (& woodland carbon code)
3	Woodland enhancement through larger market for woodfuel
4	Developing the UK ecosystems knowledge economy
5	Layered PES – PPP for the natural environment
6	Carbon sequestration as an 'allowable solution



















#### 'MOST PROMISING' OPPORTUNITIES

Rank	Opportunity
7	Expanding the reach and value of sustainability certification
8	Optimizing the benefits of sustainable tourism
9=	Global centre of excellence for ES certification
9=	Water reuse technologies
11	Reducing risks for insurers through investment in GI
12	Developing environmental bonds as vehicles for investments in nature



















#### **PHASE 2 RESEARCH & ANALYSIS**

- EMTF identified/agreed 'diamonds in the mud' based on considerations including:
  - Credible short-/medium-term market opportunity, payback potential
  - Potential contribution to jobs & growth
  - Potential contribution to UK competitive advantage
  - Potential benefit to nature
  - Multi-sector &/or multi-scale (SME/corporate) business opportunity
  - Limited barriers, more-or-less ready to go
  - Potential for EMTF to add value
  - Potential synergies between those to take forward
- EMTF commissioned further work on 'diamonds' with a view to developing robust EMTF recommendations, including relevant 'buy-in'



Imperial College London









### **Opportunity 1: Biodiversity Offsetting**

#### Size of opportunity:

- Market scale: c.6500 ha pa development impact to offset > demand for 6-10,000 ha pa offset sites > £90-470 m/yr (= 0.1-0.8% value of new-build construction) cf £400 m pa agri-environment spend; EU market £ multi-bn; significant export market.
- **Distribution of costs and benefits**: costs accrue largely to landowner selling land for development; benefits to businesses delivering offsets (largely rural SMEs)
- **Benefit to nature**: delivers over 20 yr restoration/creation & long-term management of 108,000-338,000 ha habitat (cf 1m ha SSSI) would revolutionize conservation in UK
- **Liquidity**: Mandatory >  $\uparrow$  demand >  $\uparrow$  supply >  $\uparrow$  liquidity; more liquid if permit trading beyond local level; need to build supply in advance; potential to aggregate/pool offsets for greater benefit to nature.















### **Opportunity 1: Biodiversity Offsetting**

#### **Ease of implementation:**

- **Conducive context**: Policy & fiscal imperative, current policy window; strong potential demand, no shortage on supply side; data and methods available; main barrier is political (stress can free up planning system, boost growth)
- Benefits to developer: streamlined permitting; reduced uncertainties; more sites released for development; discharged l/t environmental liabilities; gain in NDA; reputational gain
- Scalable; innovators/brokers emerging; good practice is transferable; potential for public leverage of private activity















### **Opportunity 1: Biodiversity Offsetting**

#### Risks


- Risks of perverse impacts on nature apply principles/best practice
- Offset 'blight' unlikely but need checks and controls
- Impact on land values: ↓ price paid for developable land; supply side land values less volatile in more flexible, liquid market (v. limited local supply < ↑ land prices)
- **Conflict with food production**? a non-starter






















#### **EMTF FINAL REPORT**

**Ecosystem Markets Task Force.** (2013). Realising Nature's Value: The Final Report of the Ecosystem Markets Task Force.

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/316101/Ecosystem-Markets-Task-Force-Final-Report-.pdf

#### **EMTF PHASE 2 RESEARCH**


**Duke, G.**, Conway, M., Dickie, I., Juniper, T., Quick, T., Rayment, M., Smith, S. (2013). *EMTF Second Phase Research: Opportunities for UK Business that Protect and/or Value Nature*. Final Report. ICF GHK, London. 304 pages.

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140305102305/http://www.defra.gov.uk/ecosystem-markets/files/EMTF-2nd-Phase-Research-Final-Report.pdf

#### **EMTF PHASE 1 SCOPING**

**Duke, G.**, Dickie, I., Juniper, T., ten Kate, K., Pieterse, M., Rafiq, M., Rayment, M., Smith, S and Voulvoulis, N. (2012). *Opportunities for UK Business that Value and/or Protect Nature's Services*. Final Report to the Ecosystem Markets Task Force and Valuing Nature Network. GHK, London. 219 pages.

**Duke, G.**, Dickie, I., Juniper, T., ten Kate, K., Pieterse, M., Rafiq, M., Rayment, M., Smith, S and Voulvoulis, N. (2012). *Opportunities for UK Business that Value and/or Protect Nature's Services*. Final Report to the Ecosystem Markets Task Force and Valuing Nature Network. Attachment 1: Elaboration of Proposals for Potential Business Opportunities. GHK, London. 150 pages. <a href="http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140305102305/http://www.defra.gov.uk/ecosystem-markets/2012/06/27/vnn-report-published270612">http://www.defra.gov.uk/ecosystem-markets/2012/06/27/vnn-report-published270612</a>



## 43 ECOSYSTEM SERVICES AND THE GREENING OF BUSINESS

Guy Duke

#### Introduction – business impacts and dependencies on natural capital and ecosystem services

Businesses are linked to natural capital and ecosystem services through impacts and dependencies. All businesses have an impact on natural capital and ecosystem services, either directly (e.g. through consumption of biotic products, or clearance or conversion or disturbance of natural ecosystems) or indirectly (e.g. through energy use, which contributes to climate change, which, in turn, affects ecosystems). Conversely, all businesses are dependent, either directly (e.g. for raw materials) or indirectly (e.g. for clean water, or an attractive living environment for their employees), on ecosystem services (Figure 43.1).

For example agricultural businesses depend on numerous species and ecosystem services, including genetic diversity, pollination, freshwater supplies and nutrient cycling. They impact natural capital and ecosystem services by clearance and conversion of land, through soil and water pollution and through greenhouse gas emissions, Forestry businesses depend on ecosystem services, including freshwater supply, climate regulation and nutrient cycling, and have an impact on natural capital and ecosystem services through commercial logging. Mining and quarrying can lead to large-scale destruction of habitats and have indirect impacts through road-building and pollution. The oil and gas industries depend on supplies of freshwater, and have impacts through upstream operations (drilling, construction, etc.) and downstream combustion and greenhouse gas emissions. The personal care and cosmetics industry depends on numerous natural ingredients. The water supply and sanitation sector is highly dependent on a range of ecosystem services for sustainable and cost efficient operations. The transport industry has large impacts on natural capital and ecosystem services. Many tourism businesses depend on ecosystem services, including the amenity value of natural areas. Many manufacturing industries depend on a range of ecosystem services, and impact through supply of raw materials, footprint of facilities and pollution from production processes (TEEB, 2012).

#### Natural capital accounting

One of the main ways in which businesses are engaging with the concepts of natural capital and ecosystem services is through natural capital accounting (NCA; see also Houdet et al.,

535

**Duke, G**. (2016) Ecosystem Services and the Greening of Business. <u>In</u>: Potschin, M., Haines-Young, R., Fish, R. & Turner, R.K. (eds) *Routledge Handbook of Ecosystem Services*. Routledge, London and New York, pp 535-547.

https://www.routledge.com/products/9781138025080